

Macroconstraints from Microsymmetries of Macrosystems

Ludwik Turko*

*Institute of Theoretical Physics, University of Wrocław,
pl. Maksa Borna 9, 50-204 Wrocław, Poland*

Jan Rafelski†

*Department of Physics, University of Arizona,
Tucson, AZ 85721, U.S.A.*

(November 13, 2000)

The dynamics governing the evolution of a many body system is constrained by a nonabelian local symmetry. We obtain a general form of the global macroscopic conditions assuring that at the microscopic level the evolution respects the overall symmetry constraint. These conditions does not depend on detailed dynamics of the system. It is shown that a nonabelian internal symmetry leads to the new subsidiary condition imposed on the system. A structure of constraints is analysed.

PACS: 11.30.-j, 24.10.Pa, 25.75Gz

The statistical mechanics of finite systems is a powerful tool to describe high-energy strong interaction phenomena. There is a well established tradition of this approach, starting from classical ideas of Koppe [1] and Fermi [2]. To get a better insight into properties of systems under considerations even the very refined relativistic statistical thermodynamics [3] must be supplemented with a kinetic theory [4–7]. An available phase space is always constrained by internal symmetries of the system. A consistent formulation of thermodynamics for with internal symmetries taken into account [8,9] allowed for suitable modifications of the equilibrium distributions [9–11]. In the case of the kinetic theory the situation is more involved. The influence of the internal symmetry on the generalized Vlasov - Boltzmann kinetic equations was considered recently by the authors [12].

In this paper we consider a more general scheme which allows to obtain a set of conditions due to the internal symmetry preserved by the underlying local interaction. We obtain a generic form for these conditions, independent on details of the kinetic equations.

Let us consider a multiparticle quantum system with the local interaction invariant with respect to the internal symmetry group G . We shall call this underlying symmetry of microscopic interactions a microsymmetry of the system. The system transforms under a given representation of the symmetry group. We shall call this property a macrosymmetry of the system. Let us try to answer a question: is macrosymmetry preserved during a time evolution of the system?

The system consists of particles belonging to multiplets α_j of the symmetry group. Particles from the given multiplet α_j are characterized by quantum numbers ν_j — related to the symmetry group, and quantum numbers ζ_j characterizing different multiplets of the same representation α_j . The number of particles species is:

$$W = \sum_j \sum_{\zeta_j} d(\alpha_j). \quad (1)$$

where $d(\alpha)$ is the dimension of the representation α .

The number of particles of the specie $\{\alpha, \nu_\alpha; \zeta\}$ is denoted here by $N_{\nu_\alpha;(\zeta)}^{(\alpha)}$. These occupation numbers are time dependent until the system reaches the chemical equilibrium. However the representation of the symmetry group for the system remains constant in the course of a time evolution.

Let us consider a multiparticle state vector in occupation number representation: $|N_{\nu_{\alpha_1}}^{(\alpha_1)}, \dots, N_{\nu_{\alpha_n}}^{(\alpha_n)}\rangle$. All other variables, related to phase-space properties of the system are suppressed here. This vector describes symmetry properties of our systems and transforms as a direct product representation of the symmetry group G . This representation is of the form:

$$\alpha_1^{N^{(\alpha_1)}} \otimes \alpha_2^{N^{(\alpha_2)}} \otimes \dots \otimes \alpha_n^{N^{(\alpha_n)}}. \quad (2)$$

*E-mail: turko@ift.uni.wroc.pl

†E-mail: rafelski@physics.arizona.edu

A multiplicity $N^{(\alpha_j)}$ of the representation α_j in this product is equal to a number of particles which transform under this representation:

$$N^{(\alpha_j)} = \sum_j \left(\sum_{\zeta_j} N_{\nu_{\alpha_j};(\zeta_j)}^{(\alpha_j)} \right) = \sum_j N_{\nu_{\alpha_j}}^{(\alpha_j)}. \quad (3)$$

The representation given by Eq. (2) can be decomposed into direct sum of irreducible representations Λ_k . Corresponding states are denoted as $|\Lambda_k, \lambda_{\Lambda_k}; \mathcal{N}\rangle$ where λ_{Λ_k} is an index numbering members of the representation Λ and $\mathcal{N}$ is a total number of particles

$$\mathcal{N} = \sum_k N_{\nu_{\Lambda_k}}^{(\alpha_k)}. \quad (4)$$

Each physical state can be decomposed into irreducible representation base states $|\Lambda_k, \lambda_{\Lambda_k}; \mathcal{N}; \xi_{\Lambda_k}\rangle$. Variables ξ_{Λ} are degeneracy parameters required for the full description of a state in the "symmetry space". Let us consider a projection operator $\mathcal{P}^{\Lambda}$ on the subspace spanned by all states transforming under representation Λ .

$$\mathcal{P}^{\Lambda} \left| N_{\nu_{\alpha_1}}^{(\alpha_1)}, \dots, N_{\nu_{\alpha_n}}^{(\alpha_n)} \right\rangle = \sum_{\xi_{\Lambda}}^{\oplus} |\Lambda, \lambda_{\Lambda}; \xi_{\Lambda}\rangle \mathcal{C}_{\{N_{\nu_{\alpha_1}}^{(\alpha_1)}, \dots, N_{\nu_{\alpha_n}}^{(\alpha_n)}\}}^{\Lambda, \lambda_{\Lambda}}(\xi_{\Lambda}). \quad (5)$$

This operator has the generic form (see e.g. [13]):

$$\mathcal{P}^{\Lambda} = d(\Lambda) \int_G d\mu(g) \bar{\chi}^{(\Lambda)}(g) U(g). \quad (6)$$

Here $\chi^{(\Lambda)}$ is the character of the representation Λ , $d\mu(g)$ is the invariant Haar measure on the group, and $U(g)$ is an operator transforming a state under consideration. We will use the matrix representation:

$$\begin{aligned} U(g) \left| N_{\nu_{\alpha_1}}^{(\alpha_1)}, \dots, N_{\nu_{\alpha_n}}^{(\alpha_n)} \right\rangle \\ = \sum_{\nu_1^{(1)}, \dots, \nu_n^{(N_{\nu_n})}} D_{\nu_1^{(1)} \nu_1}^{(\alpha_1)} \dots D_{\nu_1^{(N_{\nu_1})} \nu_1}^{(\alpha_1)} \dots D_{\nu_n^{(1)} \nu_n}^{(\alpha_n)} \dots D_{\nu_n^{(N_{\nu_n})} \nu_n}^{(\alpha_n)} \left| N_{\nu_{\alpha_1}}^{(\alpha_1)}, \dots, N_{\nu_{\alpha_n}}^{(\alpha_n)} \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

$D_{\nu, \nu'}^{(\alpha)}$ is a matrix elements of the group element g corresponding to the representation α . Notation convention in Eq. (7) arises since there are $N_{\nu_{\alpha_j}}^{(\alpha_j)}$ states transforming under representation α_j and having quantum numbers of the ν_{α_j} -th member of a given multiplet.

Let $\overline{P_{\{N_{\nu_{\alpha_1}}^{(\alpha_1)}, \dots, N_{\nu_{\alpha_n}}^{(\alpha_n)}\}}^{\Lambda, \lambda_{\Lambda}}}$ denotes the probability that $N_{\nu_{\alpha_1}}^{(\alpha_1)}, \dots, N_{\nu_{\alpha_n}}^{(\alpha_n)}$ particles transforming under the symmetry group representations $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ combine into $\mathcal{N}$ particle state transforming under representation Λ of the symmetry group. This is equal to a norm of the vector (5) and it is given by

$$\left\langle N_{\nu_{\alpha_1}}^{(\alpha_1)}, \dots, N_{\nu_{\alpha_n}}^{(\alpha_n)} \left| \mathcal{P}^{\Lambda} \left| N_{\nu_{\alpha_1}}^{(\alpha_1)}, \dots, N_{\nu_{\alpha_n}}^{(\alpha_n)} \right\rangle \right. \right\rangle = \sum_{\xi_{\Lambda}} |\mathcal{C}_{\{N_{\nu_{\alpha_1}}^{(\alpha_1)}, \dots, N_{\nu_{\alpha_n}}^{(\alpha_n)}\}}^{\Lambda, \lambda_{\Lambda}}(\xi_{\Lambda})|^2. \quad (8)$$

Left hand side of this equation can be calculated directly from Eqs.(6) and (7). One gets finally

$$\overline{P_{\{N_{\nu_{\alpha_1}}^{(\alpha_1)}, \dots, N_{\nu_{\alpha_n}}^{(\alpha_n)}\}}^{\Lambda, \lambda_{\Lambda}}} = \mathcal{A}^{\{\mathcal{N}\}} d(\Lambda) \int_G d\mu(g) \bar{\chi}^{(\Lambda)}(g) [D_{\nu_1 \nu_1}^{(\alpha_1)}]^{N_{\nu_{\alpha_1}}^{(\alpha_1)}} \dots [D_{\nu_n \nu_n}^{(\alpha_n)}]^{N_{\nu_{\alpha_n}}^{(\alpha_n)}}. \quad (9)$$

where $\mathcal{A}^{\{\mathcal{N}\}}$ is a permutation normalization factor. For particles of the kind $\{\alpha, \zeta\}$ we included in Eq. (9) the permutation factor:

$$\mathcal{A}_{(\zeta)}^{\alpha} = \frac{N_{(\zeta)}^{(\alpha)}!}{\prod_{\nu_{\alpha}} N_{\nu_{\alpha};(\zeta)}^{(\alpha)}!}. \quad (10)$$

The permutation factor $\mathcal{A}^{\{\mathcal{N}\}}$ is a product of all "partial" factors

$$\mathcal{A}^{\{\mathcal{N}\}} = \prod_j \prod_{\zeta_j} \mathcal{A}_{(\zeta_j)}^{\alpha_j}. \quad (11)$$

Because of macrosymmetry all weights in Eq. (9) should be constant in time. So we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \overline{P^{\Lambda, \lambda_\Lambda}_{\{N_{\nu_{\alpha_1}}^{(\alpha_1)}, \dots, N_{\nu_{\alpha_n}}^{(\alpha_n)}\}}} = 0. \quad (12)$$

This condition assures that in a dynamical evolution the macrosymmetry of the system is preserved. By mean of Eq. (9) the macrosymmetry conservation condition (12) is converted into a general time evolution condition of the form

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & \frac{d \mathcal{A}^{\{\mathcal{N}\}}}{dt} d(\Lambda) \int_G d\mu(g) \bar{\chi}^{(\Lambda)}(g) [D_{\nu_1 \nu_1}^{(\alpha_1)}]^{N_{\nu_{\alpha_1}}^{(\alpha_1)}} \dots [D_{\nu_n \nu_n}^{(\alpha_n)}]^{N_{\nu_{\alpha_n}}^{(\alpha_n)}} \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\nu_{\alpha_j}} \frac{d N_{\nu_{\alpha_j}}^{(\alpha_j)}}{dt} \mathcal{A}^{\{\mathcal{N}\}} d(\Lambda) \int_G d\mu(g) \bar{\chi}^{(\Lambda)}(g) [D_{\nu_1 \nu_1}^{(\alpha_1)}]^{N_{\nu_{\alpha_1}}^{(\alpha_1)}} \dots [D_{\nu_n \nu_n}^{(\alpha_n)}]^{N_{\nu_{\alpha_n}}^{(\alpha_n)}} \log[D_{\nu_j \nu_j}^{(\alpha_j)}]. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

All integrals which appear in Eq. (9) and Eq. (13) can be expressed explicitly in an analytic form for any compact symmetry group.

To write an expression for the time derivative of the normalization factor $\mathcal{A}^{\{\mathcal{N}\}}$ we perform analytic continuation from integer to continuous values of variables $N_{\nu_{\alpha_n}}^{(\alpha_n)}$. Thus we replace all factorials by the gamma functions of corresponding arguments. We encounter here also the digamma function ψ [14]:

$$\psi(x) = \frac{d \log \Gamma(x)}{dx}. \quad (14)$$

This allows to write:

$$\frac{d \mathcal{A}^{\{\mathcal{N}\}}}{dt} = \mathcal{A}^{\{\mathcal{N}\}} \sum_j \sum_{\zeta_j} \left[\frac{d N_{(\zeta_j)}^{(\alpha_j)}}{dt} \psi(N_{(\zeta_j)}^{(\alpha_j)} + 1) - \sum_{\nu_{\alpha_j}} \frac{d N_{\nu_{\alpha_j};(\zeta_j)}^{(\alpha_j)}}{dt} \psi(N_{\nu_{\alpha_j};(\zeta_j)}^{(\alpha_j)} + 1) \right]. \quad (15)$$

These subsidiary conditions (13) and (15) are the necessary conditions for preserving the internal symmetry on the macroscopic level. Rates of change $d N_{\nu_{\alpha};(\zeta)}^{(\alpha)}/dt$ are related to “macrocurrents”, which are counterparts of “microcurrents” related directly to a symmetry on a microscopic level via the Noether theorem. Eqs. (13) and (15) can be considered as a set of conditions on macrocurrents to provide consistency with the overall symmetry of the system.

For multiplicities encountered in high energy heavy ion collisions processes all digamma functions in Eq. (15) can be replaced by corresponding logarithmic functions according to the asymptotic formula [14]:

$$\psi(N+1) \approx \log N \quad (16)$$

This gives a simplified form of Eq. (15):

$$\frac{d \mathcal{A}^{\{\mathcal{N}\}}}{dt} = \mathcal{A}^{\{\mathcal{N}\}} \sum_j \sum_{\zeta_j} \left[\frac{d N_{(\zeta_j)}^{(\alpha_j)}}{dt} \log N_{(\zeta_j)}^{(\alpha_j)} - \sum_{\nu_{\alpha_j}} \frac{d N_{\nu_{\alpha_j};(\zeta_j)}^{(\alpha_j)}}{dt} \log N_{\nu_{\alpha_j};(\zeta_j)}^{(\alpha_j)} \right]. \quad (17)$$

One obtains then from Eq.(13)

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\nu_{\alpha_j}} \frac{d N_{\nu_{\alpha_j}}^{(\alpha_j)}}{dt} \frac{d \log \tilde{\mathcal{P}}^{\Lambda, \lambda_\Lambda}_{\{N_{\nu_{\alpha_1}}^{(\alpha_1)}, \dots, N_{\nu_{\alpha_n}}^{(\alpha_n)}\}}}{d N_{\nu_{\alpha_j}}^{(\alpha_j)}} \\ & = \sum_j \sum_{\zeta_j} \left(- \frac{d N_{(\zeta_j)}^{(\alpha_j)}}{dt} \log N_{(\zeta_j)}^{(\alpha_j)} + \sum_{\nu_{\alpha_j}} \frac{d N_{\nu_{\alpha_j};(\zeta_j)}^{(\alpha_j)}}{dt} \log N_{\nu_{\alpha_j};(\zeta_j)}^{(\alpha_j)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\{N_{\nu_{\alpha_1}}^{(\alpha_1)}, \dots, N_{\nu_{\alpha_n}}^{(\alpha_n)}\}}^{\Lambda, \lambda_\Lambda} = \int_G d\mu(g) \bar{\chi}^{(\Lambda)}(g) [D_{\nu_1 \nu_1}^{(\alpha_1)}]^{N_{\nu_{\alpha_1}}^{(\alpha_1)}} \dots [D_{\nu_n \nu_n}^{(\alpha_n)}]^{N_{\nu_{\alpha_n}}^{(\alpha_n)}} \quad (19)$$

is analytically extended for continuous values of variables $N_{\nu_{\alpha_j}}^{(\alpha_j)}$.

Eqs (13) and (18) are meaningful only for nonzero values of coefficients (19). Let our symmetry group be a Lie group of rank k . It means physically that there are exactly k simultaneously measurable charges related to the group G . It is easy to see that weights (9) are nonzero only for such values of $N_{\nu_{\alpha_j}}^{(\alpha_j)}$ which are consistent with conservation of those charges. For the isospin $SU(2)$ group that is the third component of the isospin, for the flavour $SU(3)$ that would be the third component of the isospin and the hypercharge. In general case one has k linear relations between variables $N_{\nu_{\alpha_j}}^{(\alpha_j)}$ what reduces correspondingly the number of independent variables.

New results are obtained only for nonabelian symmetries. The local abelian charge conservation on the microscopic level is equivalent to the charge conservation of the multiparticle system. In the case of the abelian symmetry group all representations are one-dimensional ones, labelled by the charge value α . All related permutation factors (10) are equal to 1 and Eq.(9) gives

$$\overline{P_{\{N^{(\alpha_1)}, \dots, N^{(\alpha_n)}\}}^\Lambda} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi e^{-i\Lambda\varphi} e^{i\alpha_1 N^{(\alpha_1)}} \dots e^{i\alpha_n N^{(\alpha_n)}} = \delta_{\Lambda, \alpha_1 N^{(\alpha_1)} + \dots + \alpha_n N^{(\alpha_n)}}. \quad (20)$$

We see that for abelian internal symmetries Eq.(13) gives an obvious result

$$\alpha_1 \frac{dN^{(\alpha_1)}}{dt} + \dots + \alpha_n \frac{dN^{(\alpha_n)}}{dt} = 0. \quad (21)$$

For nonabelian symmetries one gets similar relations for charges belonging to the center of the group G .

The “nonabelian” condition (13) is much more involved. The time derivatives $dN_{\nu_{\alpha};(\zeta)}^{(\alpha)}/dt$ can be obtained from analysis of the microscopic basic interaction. The most general approach [15] goes through Heisenberg equation

$$\frac{d\hat{N}}{dt} = i[\hat{H}, \hat{N}] \quad (22)$$

averaged over states $|N_{\nu_{\alpha_1}}^{(\alpha_1)}, \dots, N_{\nu_{\alpha_n}}^{(\alpha_n)}\rangle$. $\hat{N}$ denotes here a particle number operator.

Another way is to use semiclassical kinetic equations for distribution functions $f_{(\zeta)}^{(\alpha_i, \nu_i)}(\Gamma, \vec{r}, t)$. The variables $(\Gamma, \vec{r})$ denote a set of phase - space variables. The number of particles of the specie $\{\alpha, \nu_\alpha; \zeta\}$ is:

$$N_{\nu_\alpha;(\zeta)}^{(\alpha)}(t) = \int dV d\Gamma f_{(\zeta)}^{(\alpha, \nu_\alpha)}(\Gamma, \vec{r}, t). \quad (23)$$

The case of the generalized Vlasov - Boltzmann kinetic equations was considered in [12].

In general the derivatives $dN_{\nu_\alpha;(\zeta)}^{(\alpha)}/dt$ are expressed as functionals over variables $N_{\nu_{\alpha_j}}^{(\alpha_j)}$:

$$\frac{dN_{\nu_\alpha;(\zeta)}^{(\alpha)}}{dt} = \mathcal{F}_{\nu_\alpha;(\zeta)}^{(\alpha)} [N_{\nu_{\alpha_1}}^{(\alpha_1)}, \dots, N_{\nu_{\alpha_n}}^{(\alpha_n)}]. \quad (24)$$

Substituting this into Eq. (13) or Eq. (18) one gets a new relation between variables $N_{\nu_{\alpha_j}}^{(\alpha_j)}$. This leads in principle to the further reduction of the number of independent variables. Because of a highly nonlinear character of the resulting constraint it would be too optimistic to expect an analytic solution of this constraint. However, it is possible to get at least numerical estimations [15] based on kinetic equations (24) and taking into account k solvable constraints related to the center of the group G and the implicit constraint resulting from Eq. (13) or Eq. (18).

There is a simple geometrical interpretation of our problem. Solutions of Eqs (24) span W -dimensional manifold parameterized by the time variable, with W given by Eq. (1). Constraints reduce this manifold to the $(W - k - 1)$ -dimensional submanifold of physical states consistent with the underlying internal symmetry.

New constraints lead to decreasing number of available states for the system during its time evolution. The equilibrium distribution can be constructed by the Lagrange multipliers method. The multipliers related to the “central” constraints are well known chemical potentials. The multiplier related to the “nonabelian” constraint (13) is more complicated. Because the constraint is a nonlinear one, the corresponding multiplier cannot be treated as a standard additive thermodynamical potential.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Work supported in part by the Polish Committee for Scientific Research under contract KBN-2 P03B 030 18 and by a grant from the U.S. Department of Energy, DE-FG03-95ER40937.

-
- [1] H. Koppe, *Zs.f.Naturforschung* **3a**, 251, (1948),
H. Koppe, *Phys. Rev.* **76**, 688, (1949) .
 - [2] E. Fermi, *Progr. Theor. Phys.* **5**, 570, (1950)
 - [3] R. Hagedorn, CERN Yellow Report 71-12 (1971)
 - [4] J. Rafelski and B. Müller, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **48**, 1066, (1982) .
 - [5] P. Koch, B. Müller and J. Rafelski, *Phys. Rept.* **142**, 167, (1986) .
 - [6] T. Matsui, B. Svetitsky and L.D. McLerran, *Phys. Rev.* **D34**, 783, (1986) .
 - [7] T.S. Biro, E. van Doorn, B. Müller, M.H. Thomma and X.-N. Wang, *Phys. Rev.* **C48**, 1275, (1993) .
 - [8] K. Redlich and L. Turko, *Z. Phys.* **C5**, 201, (1980) .
 - [9] L. Turko, *Phys. Lett. B* **104**, 153, (1981) .
 - [10] J. Rafelski and M. Danos, *Phys. Lett. B* **97**, 279, (1980) .
 - [11] B. Müller and J. Rafelski, *Phys. Lett. B* **116**, 274, (1982) .
 - [12] L. Turko and J. Rafelski, *Dynamics of Multiparticle Systems with non – Abelian Symmetry*, **hep-th/0003079**; to be published in **EPJ C** .
 - [13] E. P. Wigner, *Group Theory and Its Application to the Quantum Mechanics of Atomic Spectra*, (Academic Press, New York and London, 1959) .
 - [14] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun (eds.), *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, (National Bureau of Standards, Applied Mathematics Series · 55, 1964) .
 - [15] J. Rafelski and L. Turko — *in preparation* .